arXiv:0905.2063v1 [math.AG] 13 May 2009

ON THE EXISTENCE OF A COMPACT GENERATOR ON THE DERIVED CATEGORY OF A NOETHERIAN FORMAL SCHEME

LEOVIGILDO ALONSO TARRÍO, ANA JEREMÍAS LÓPEZ,
MARTA PÉREZ RODRÍGUEZ, AND MARÍA J. VALE GONSALVES

Abstract. In this paper, we prove that for a noetherian formal scheme $\mathfrak{X}$, its derived category of sheaves of modules with quasi-coherent torsion homologies $\mathbf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{X})$ is generated by a single compact object. In an appendix we prove that the category of compact objects in $\mathbf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{X})$ is skeletally small.

Introduction

Formal schemes have played a basic role in algebraic geometry since its introduction by Grothendieck in [Gr2]. They were intended to express in the language of schemes the theory of holomorphic functions of Zariski, and later they played an outstanding role in Grothendieck-Lefschetz theory. Its cohomology, however was poorly understood, in part due to the lack of an appropriate derived category of coefficients.

The situation has changed since the work [AJL1] where the basic cohomology theory including Grothendieck duality was developed. In *loc.cit.* the category of quasi-coherent torsion coefficients was introduced, where torsion is related to the canonical adic topology in the structure sheaf on the formal scheme. The category makes it possible the development of a theory of cohomology for non-adic maps of formal schemes. Further results were obtained in [LNS] and [AJL2].

In these developments the basic ingredient is the derived category of sheaves of modules with quasi-coherent torsion homologies $\mathbf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{X})$ where $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}})$ is a noetherian formal scheme. Recent results have been the determination of its Bousfield localizations in connection with the underlying geometric structure [AJS3]. Also it has been shown in [AJPV] that whenever $\mathfrak{X}$ is semi-separated $\mathbf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{X})$ is a stable homotopy category in the sense of Hovey, Palmieri and Strickland [HPS].

One of the main features of this structure is the existence of good generators. It has been known for some time that derived categories of smooth

---

Date: May 13, 2009.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14F99 (primary); 14F05, 18E30 (secondary).

This work has been partially supported by Spain's MEC and E.U.'s FEDER research projects MTM2005-05754 and MTM2008-03465.

projective varieties have a single compact generator. Compact objects correspond to the classical notion of perfect complex studied by Grothendieck's school [I]. Surprisingly, Bondal and Van den Bergh proved in [BvdB] that the derived category of sheaves of modules with quasi-coherent homologies $\mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{qc}}(X)$ —where $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is a quasi-compact and quasi-separated scheme— is generated by a single perfect complex. Later, Lipman and Neeman obtained a different proof [LNe] with additional precisions on the generator under the additional hypothesis that X is separated.

In our previous paper [AJPV, Proposition 6.14] we showed that $\mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{X})$ is compactly generated for a noetherian formal scheme $\mathfrak{X}$. The proof there gives no control on how many compact objects are needed to generate the category. In this paper we show that $\mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{X})$ possesses a single compact generator (Theorem 2.7). The feasibility of getting this result was remarked to the authors by Van den Bergh. In the case in which $\mathfrak{X}$ is of pseudo-finite type over a field, say, this opens the possibility of studying $\mathfrak{X}$ through the differential graded algebra of endomorphisms of its generator, but this is a question for later study.

Our results depend on the machinery developed in [AJL1] and [AJPV]. In the first section we collect a few general notions and establish some notations for convenience of the readers. In the second section we give the proof of the theorem. Proposition 2.4 is an intermediate result on the relationship between the derived categories of sheaves of modules with quasi-coherent torsion homologies on a formal scheme, a closed formal subscheme and its open complement. It is used in a crucial way in the proof of our main result.

In the last section, we give a result that is used in the proof of Theorem 2.7 but that has also an independent interest, namely, for a noetherian formal scheme $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}})$ the category of perfect complexes in $\mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{X})$ is skeletally small, *i.e.* the isomorphy classes of perfect complexes possess a representative in a certain set. This allows for constructions of invariants based on this set of classes for formal schemes, like Picard groups, K-theory, etc.

1. Preliminaires

We assume that the reader is familiar with the basic theory of formal schemes as is explained in [EGA I, §10]. We will recall briefly some definitions and results about locally noetherian formal schemes.

1.1. The functors

$$A \rightsquigarrow \mathfrak{X} := \operatorname{Spf}(A) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathfrak{X} \rightsquigarrow A := \Gamma(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}})$$

define a duality between the category of adic noetherian rings and the category of affine noetherian formal schemes that generalizes the duality between the categories of rings and affine schemes (see [EGA I, (10.2.2), (10.4.6)]). Let I be an ideal of definition of A. The formal scheme $\operatorname{Spf}(A)$ is the completion of the scheme $\operatorname{Spec}(A)$ along the closed subscheme $V(I)$. They are related through the completion map that we usually denote

$$\kappa\colon \operatorname{Spf}(A) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(A).$$

1.2. A morphism $f\colon \mathfrak{X} \to \mathfrak{Y}$ of locally noetherian formal schemes is *adic* if there exists an Ideal of definition $\mathcal{K}$ of $\mathfrak{Y}$ such that $f^*(\mathcal{K})\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{X}$ is an Ideal of definition of $\mathfrak{X}$. Note that if there exists an Ideal of definition $\mathcal{K}$ of $\mathfrak{Y}$ such that $f^*(\mathcal{K})\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{X}$ is an Ideal of definition of $\mathfrak{X}$, then the same holds true for all Ideals of definition of $\mathfrak{Y}$. See [EGA I, §10.12.].

1.3. Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be a locally noetherian formal scheme. Given $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{X}$, a coherent Ideal, $\mathfrak{X}' := \operatorname{Supp}(\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{X}/\mathcal{I})$ is a closed subset and $(\mathfrak{X}', (\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{X}/\mathcal{I})|_{\mathfrak{X}'})$ is a noetherian formal scheme. We will say that $\mathfrak{X}'$ is the *closed (formal) subscheme* of $\mathfrak{X}$ defined by $\mathcal{I}$. See [EGA I, §10.14.]. On the other hand, if $\mathfrak{U} \subset \mathfrak{X}$ is an open subset, it holds that $(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{X}|_\mathfrak{U})$ is a noetherian formal scheme and we say that $\mathfrak{U}$ is an *open subscheme of* $\mathfrak{X}$ (see [EGA I, (10.4.4)]).

A morphism $f : \mathfrak{Z} \to \mathfrak{X}$ is a *closed immersion* (*open immersion*) if there exists $\mathfrak{Z}' \subset \mathfrak{X}$ closed (open, respectively) formal subscheme such that f factors as

$$\mathfrak{Z} \xrightarrow{g} \mathfrak{Z}' \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{X}$$

where g is an isomorphism. Closed and open inmersions are adic morphisms.

1.4. Let $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{X})$ be a noetherian formal scheme with an ideal of definition $\mathcal{I}$. Let $\mathsf{A}(\mathfrak{X})$ be the category of all $\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{X}$-modules and $\varGamma'_\mathfrak{X}\colon \mathsf{A}(\mathfrak{X}) \to \mathsf{A}(\mathfrak{X})$ the functor defined by

$$\varGamma'_\mathfrak{X}\mathcal{F} := \varinjlim_{n>0} \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{X}}(\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{X}/\mathcal{I}^n, \mathcal{F})$$

for $\mathcal{F} \in \mathsf{A}(\mathfrak{X})$. It does not depend on $\mathcal{I}$, only on the topology defined by $\mathcal{I}$ in the sheaf of rings $\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{X}$. Let $\mathsf{A}_\mathsf{t}(\mathfrak{X})$ be the full subcategory of $\mathsf{A}(\mathfrak{X})$ whose objects are those $\mathcal{F}$ such that $\varGamma'_\mathfrak{X}\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}$; it is a subcategory of $\mathsf{A}(\mathfrak{X})$ closed for kernels, cokernels and extensions (*i.e. plump*, as defined in [AJL1, beginning of §1]). Let $\mathsf{A}_\mathsf{qc}(\mathfrak{X})$ be the subcategory of $\mathsf{A}(\mathfrak{X})$ formed by quasi-coherent sheaves (it makes sense for any ringed space, *cf.* [EGA I, **0**, §5]). We will denote by $\mathsf{A}_\mathsf{qct}(\mathfrak{X}) := \mathsf{A}_\mathsf{t}(\mathfrak{X}) \cap \mathsf{A}_\mathsf{qc}(\mathfrak{X})$. It is again a plump subcategory of $\mathsf{A}(\mathfrak{X})$, see [AJL1, Corollary 5.1.3] where it was introduced. The category $\mathsf{A}_\mathsf{qct}(\mathfrak{X})$ is a Grothendieck category, *i.e.* it has a generator and exact filtered direct limits [Gr1, Proposition 1.8] (see [AJPV, Lemma 6.1]).

From the fact that $\mathsf{A}_\mathsf{qct}(\mathfrak{X})$ is plump in $\mathsf{A}(\mathfrak{X})$ it follows that the full subcategory of the derived category $\mathbf{D}(\mathfrak{X}) := \mathbf{D}(\mathsf{A}(\mathfrak{X}))$ formed by complexes whose homology lies in $\mathsf{A}_\mathsf{qct}(\mathfrak{X})$ is a triangulated subcategory of $\mathbf{D}(\mathfrak{X})$. We will denote it by $\mathbf{D}_\mathsf{qct}(\mathfrak{X})$. This category will be the main object of study of the present work.

1.5. Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be a noetherian formal scheme. A complex $\mathcal{E}^\bullet \in \mathbf{D}(\mathfrak{X})$ is called *perfect* if for every $x \in \mathfrak{X}$ there is an open neighborhood $\mathfrak{U}$ of x and a bounded complex of locally-free finite type $\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{U}$-Modules $\mathcal{F}^\bullet$ together with an isomorphism $\mathcal{F}^\bullet \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}^\bullet|_\mathfrak{U}$ in $\mathbf{D}(\mathfrak{U})$ (*cf.* [I, Définition 4.7.]).

Let T be a triangulated category with coproducts. An object E of T is called *compact* if the functor $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{T}}(E, -)$ commutes with arbitrary coproducts. By [AJPV, Proposition 6.12.] the compact objects in $\mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{X})$ are the perfect complexes.

1.6. Let T be as before and $S = \{E_\alpha \,/\, \alpha \in L\}$ a set of objects of T. We say that S is a *set of generators* of T if, for an object $M \in \mathsf{T}$, $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{T}}(E_\alpha[i], M) = 0$, for all $\alpha \in L$ and for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, implies $M = 0$. The existence of a single generator is equivalent to the existence of a set of generators taking as single generator the coproduct of all the objects in the family. If the set S is formed by compact objects then S is a set of generators of T if and only if the smallest triangulated subcategory closed for coproducts that contains S is all of T, see [Ne2, Lemma 3.2].

2. The main theorem

We start with some results that will be used throughout the proof of Theorem 2.7.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $\mathfrak{X} = \operatorname{Spf}(A)$ be an affine formal scheme such that A is an I-adic noetherian ring. The category $\mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{X})$ is generated by a perfect complex.*

Proof. Take the complex of sheaves associated to a Koszul complex built on a system of generators of the ideal I, see [AJPV, Proposition 6.10]. □

Remark. This is the affine case of our main Theorem 2.7. It is also the first step in its proof.

Let $\mathsf{D}_I(A)$ be the full subcategory of the derived category of A-modules formed by complexes whose homologies are I-torsion. In the proof of the previous Proposition, the equivalence of categories between the category $\mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{X})$ and $\mathsf{D}_I(A)$, that follows from [AJL1, Proposition 5.2.4], is used in a decisive way.

Let $V(I) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(A) \,/\, I \subset \mathfrak{p}\}$ *i.e.* the set of zeros of the ideal I in $X := \operatorname{Spec}(A)$ and $\mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{qc},V(I)}(X)$ be the derived category of quasi-coherent sheaves in X supported on $V(I)$. Let $\kappa\colon \mathfrak{X} \to X$ be the canonical completion map. Consider the following composition of equivalences of derived categories

$$\mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{X}) \xrightarrow{\kappa_*} \mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{qc},V(I)}(X) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{R}\Gamma(X,-)} \mathsf{D}_I(A).$$

Let us denote this equivalence by $\phi\colon \mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{X}) \longrightarrow \mathsf{D}_I(A)$. Note that a quasi-inverse functor is the composition

$$\mathsf{D}_I(A) \xrightarrow{(-)^\sim} \mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{qc},V(I)}(X) \xrightarrow{\kappa^*} \mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{X}).$$

This composition will be denoted by $\psi\colon \mathsf{D}_I(A) \longrightarrow \mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{X})$.

Let $\mathfrak{Z}$ be a closed formal subscheme of a noetherian formal scheme $\mathfrak{X}$. We will denote by $\mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{qct},\mathfrak{Z}}(\mathfrak{X})$ the full subcategory of $\mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{X})$ formed by the complexes $\mathcal{F}^\bullet$ such that $\mathcal{H}^p(\mathcal{F}^\bullet)|_{\mathfrak{X}\setminus\mathfrak{Z}} = 0$ for all $p \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be an ideal of the I-adic ring A. Let $\mathfrak{X} = \operatorname{Spf}(A)$ and $\mathfrak{Z} = \operatorname{Spf}(A/\mathfrak{a})$ the corresponding closed subscheme. The previous equivalence restricts to the subcategories $\mathbf{D}_{\mathsf{qct},\mathfrak{Z}}(\mathfrak{X})$ and $\mathbf{D}_{I+\mathfrak{a}}(A)$.*

Proof. Let $Z := V(I + \mathfrak{a}) \subset X$ where $X = \operatorname{Spec}(A)$. It is clear from the previous discussion that the categories $\mathbf{D}_{I+\mathfrak{a}}(A)$ and $\mathbf{D}_{\mathsf{qc},Z}(X)$ are equivalent through the quasi-inverse functors $(-)^{\sim}$ and $\mathbf{R}\Gamma(X, -)$.

Let us deal with the general case $\mathfrak{X} = \operatorname{Spf}(A)$ and check that κ_* takes $\mathbf{D}_{\mathsf{qct},\mathfrak{Z}}(\mathfrak{X})$ into $\mathbf{D}_{\mathsf{qc},V(I+\mathfrak{a})}(X)$. Indeed, we will see that if $\mathcal{F}$ is a $(I + \mathfrak{a})^{\triangle}$-torsion quasi-coherent $A^{\triangle}$-module[1] then $\kappa_*(\mathcal{F})$ is a quasi-coherent $\widetilde{A}$-module supported on Z. If $\mathcal{F}|_{\mathfrak{X}\setminus\mathfrak{Z}} = 0$ then $\mathcal{F}_x = 0$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{X} \setminus \mathfrak{Z}$. But then it follows that $\kappa_*\mathcal{F}_y = 0$ for all $y \in X \setminus Z$.

It remains to check that if $\mathcal{G}$ is a quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$-module supported on Z then $\operatorname{Supp}(\kappa^*\mathcal{G}) \subset \mathfrak{Z}$. Notice that for any $y \in \mathfrak{X}$,

$$(\kappa^*\mathcal{G})_y = \mathcal{G}_{\kappa(y)} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X,\kappa(y)}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X},y}.$$

Therefore if $\mathcal{G}_x = 0$ for all $x \in X \setminus V(I + \mathfrak{a})$, then $(\kappa^*\mathcal{G})_y = 0$ for all $y \in \mathfrak{X} \setminus \mathfrak{Z} = \kappa^{-1}(X \setminus Z)$. □

2.3. Let $\mathbf{T}$ be a triangulated category and let $\mathcal{L}$ be a full triangulated subcategory of $\mathbf{T}$. It is possible to construct after Verdier a new triangulated category denoted $\mathbf{T}/\mathcal{L}$ by inverting the morphisms $A \to B$ in $\mathbf{T}$ such that if $A \to B \to C \to A[1]$ is a distinguished triangle then $C \in \mathcal{L}$. This process can be performed through a calculus of fractions, see [Ne3, §2.1]. There is a canonical functor $q\colon \mathbf{T} \to \mathbf{T}/\mathcal{L}$ that carries every object to itself. Note that the objects in $\mathcal{L}$ go to zero by q and that q is universal for triangulated functors from $\mathbf{T}$ with this property.

Proposition 2.4. *Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be a noetherian formal scheme and $\mathfrak{U}$ an open formal subscheme. Let $\mathfrak{Z} := \mathfrak{X} \setminus \mathfrak{U}$. We have an equivalence of categories:*

$$\frac{\mathbf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{X})}{\mathbf{D}_{\mathsf{qct},\mathfrak{Z}}(\mathfrak{X})} \cong \mathbf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{U}).$$

Proof. Let $\alpha\colon \mathfrak{U} \to \mathfrak{X}$ be the canonical immersion. Since $\alpha^*(\mathbf{D}_{\mathsf{qct},\mathfrak{Z}}(\mathfrak{X})) = 0$, there is a functor $\overline{\alpha}$ such that the diagram of functors

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{X}) \arrow[rr, "q"] \arrow[dr, "\alpha^*"'] & & \dfrac{\mathbf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{X})}{\mathbf{D}_{\mathsf{qct},\mathfrak{Z}}(\mathfrak{X})} \arrow[dl, "\overline{\alpha}"] \\
& \mathbf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{U}) &
\end{tikzcd}$$

---

[1] We recall that for a finite-type A-module M we denote by $M^{\triangle}$ its associated coherent sheaf on $\operatorname{Spf}(A)$, [EGA I, (10.10.1)].

commutes. On the other hand it holds that $\mathbf{R}\alpha_*(\mathbf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{U})) \subset \mathbf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{X})$ by [AJL1, Proposition 5.2.6]. Consider the composition

$$\mathbf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{U}) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{R}\alpha_*} \mathbf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{X}) \xrightarrow{q} \frac{\mathbf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{X})}{\mathbf{D}_{\mathsf{qct},\mathfrak{Z}}(\mathfrak{X})}.$$

We will see that $\overline{\alpha}$ and $q \circ \mathbf{R}\alpha_*$ are quasi-inverse functors. Note first that

$$\overline{\alpha} \circ q \circ \mathbf{R}\alpha_* = \alpha^* \circ \mathbf{R}\alpha_* = \mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{U})}\,.$$

To finish the proof, we have to check that $q \circ \mathbf{R}\alpha_* \circ \overline{\alpha}$ is isomorphic to the identity functor on $\frac{\mathbf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{X})}{\mathbf{D}_{\mathsf{qct},\mathfrak{Z}}(\mathfrak{X})}$. Let $\mathcal{F}^\bullet \in \mathbf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{X})$ and consider the localization triangle [AJS3, 2.1.(1)]

$$\mathbf{R}\varGamma_{\mathfrak{Z}}\mathcal{F}^\bullet \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}^\bullet \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}\alpha_*\alpha^*\mathcal{F}^\bullet \xrightarrow{+}\,.$$

We have that $\mathbf{R}\varGamma_{\mathfrak{Z}}\mathcal{F}^\bullet \in \mathbf{D}_{\mathsf{qct},\mathfrak{Z}}(\mathfrak{X})$ therefore $q(\mathbf{R}\varGamma_{\mathfrak{Z}}\mathcal{F}^\bullet) = 0$ and we obtain an isomorphism $q\mathcal{F}^\bullet \xrightarrow{\sim} q\mathbf{R}\alpha_*\alpha^*\mathcal{F}^\bullet$. But it follows that $q\mathcal{F}^\bullet \xrightarrow{\sim} q\mathbf{R}\alpha_*\overline{\alpha}(q\mathcal{F}^\bullet)$, and as every object of $\mathbf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{X})/\mathbf{D}_{\mathsf{qct},\mathfrak{Z}}(\mathfrak{X})$ is of the form $q\mathcal{F}^\bullet$ for some $\mathcal{F}^\bullet \in \mathbf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{X})$, we have proved our claim and the proposition. ☐

2.5. Let $\mathbf{T}$ be a triangulated category with coproducts and let $\mathcal{L}$ be a full triangulated subcategory of $\mathbf{T}$. We will denote by

$$\mathcal{L}^\perp = \{Z \in \mathbf{T} \,/\, \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{T}}(Y, Z) = 0 \text{ for every } Y \in \mathcal{L}\}.$$

The full subcategory $\mathcal{L}^\perp$ is a triangulated subcategory of $\mathbf{T}$. If $\{E_\alpha \,/\, \alpha \in L\}$ is a set of objects of $\mathbf{T}$, we will denote by $\langle E_\alpha \,/\, \alpha \in L\rangle$ the smallest full triangulated subcategory of $\mathbf{T}$ stable for coproducts containing $\{E_\alpha \,/\, \alpha \in L\}$. Observe that $\{E_\alpha \,/\, \alpha \in L\}^\perp = \langle E_\alpha \,/\, \alpha \in L\rangle^\perp$. A full triangulated subcategory of $\mathbf{T}$ stable for coproducts is called a localizing subcategory of $\mathbf{T}$. If $\mathcal{L}$ is a localizing subcategory of $\mathbf{T}$, the objects of $\mathcal{L}^\perp$ are called $\mathcal{L}$-local objects.

Corollary 2.6. *In the setting of the previous proposition, the functor q induces an equivalence of categories*

$$\widetilde{q}\colon \mathbf{D}_{\mathsf{qct},\mathfrak{Z}}(\mathfrak{X})^\perp \longrightarrow \frac{\mathbf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{X})}{\mathbf{D}_{\mathsf{qct},\mathfrak{Z}}(\mathfrak{X})}$$

whose quasi-inverse is induced by $\mathbf{R}\alpha_* \circ \overline{\alpha}$.

Proof. Combine [AJS1, Proposition 1.6, (ii) ⇔ (iii)] with the previouos Proposition. ☐

Theorem 2.7. *Let $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}})$ be a noetherian formal scheme. The category $\mathbf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{X})$ is generated by a perfect complex.*

Proof. Let us argue by induction in the number of affine open formal subschemes needed to cover $\mathfrak{X}$. Denote this number by $n(\mathfrak{X})$. Let $n := n(\mathfrak{X})$, we write $\mathfrak{X} = \mathfrak{U}_1 \cup \cdots \cup \mathfrak{U}_n$ with each $\mathfrak{U}_i$ an affine formal scheme for every $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. The case $n = 1$ is covered by Proposition 2.1.

Denote $\mathfrak{U} = \mathfrak{U}_1$ and $\mathfrak{Y} = \mathfrak{U}_2 \cup \cdots \cup \mathfrak{U}_n$. We can (and will) suppose by induction hypothesis that $\mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{Y})$ is generated by a perfect complex, say $\mathcal{E}^\bullet$. Let $\mathfrak{V} := \mathfrak{U} \cap \mathfrak{Y}$ and consider the following cartesian diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathfrak{V} \arrow[r, "\alpha"] \arrow[d, "\beta"'] & \mathfrak{U} \arrow[d, "\gamma"] \\
\mathfrak{Y} \arrow[r, "\delta"'] & \mathfrak{X}
\end{tikzcd}$$

where all maps are the canonical open embeddings.

Put $\mathfrak{Z} := \mathfrak{X} \setminus \mathfrak{Y} = \mathfrak{U} \setminus \mathfrak{V}$ with $\mathfrak{U} = \operatorname{Spf}(A)$ for a certain noetherian I-adic ring A. The formal scheme $\mathfrak{Z}$ is a closed formal subscheme of $\mathfrak{U}$. Therefore $\mathfrak{Z}$ is affine and there exists an ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ of A such that $\mathfrak{Z} = \operatorname{Spf}(A/\mathfrak{a})$. Note that $A/\mathfrak{a}$ is an $I(A/\mathfrak{a})$-adic ring and its underlying topological space is identified with the set of zeros of the ideal $I + \mathfrak{a}$ in $\operatorname{Spec}(A/I)$. Let $Q^\bullet$ be a Koszul complex built on a set of generators of $I + \mathfrak{a}$. According to [BN, Proposition 6.1] (see, alternatively, [DG, Proposition 6.1]) it generates $\mathsf{D}_{I+\mathfrak{a}}(A)$. By the equivalence of Lemma 2.2, the perfect complex $\mathcal{Q}^\bullet := \kappa^*\widetilde{Q^\bullet}$ generates $\mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{qct},\mathfrak{Z}}(\mathfrak{U})$ where $\kappa\colon \operatorname{Spf}(A) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(A)$ denotes the completion map, as usual. We have that:

$$\delta^*\mathbf{R}\gamma_*\mathcal{Q}^\bullet = \mathbf{R}\beta_*\alpha^*\mathcal{Q}^\bullet = 0 \qquad \text{(by open base change)} \tag{2.7.1}$$

$$\gamma^*\mathbf{R}\gamma_*\mathcal{Q}^\bullet = \mathcal{Q}^\bullet \tag{2.7.2}$$

But $\{\mathfrak{Y}, \mathfrak{U}\}$ constitute a covering of $\mathfrak{X}$, therefore the previous equalities imply that the complex $\mathbf{R}\gamma_*\mathcal{Q}^\bullet$ is perfect. Summing up, the categories $\mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{U})$ and $\mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{qct},\mathfrak{Z}}(\mathfrak{U})$ are compactly generated.

Denote by T^{c} the subcategory of compact objects of a triangulated category T. By Proposition 2.4 we have an equivalence $\frac{\mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{U})}{\mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{qct},\mathfrak{Z}}(\mathfrak{U})} \cong \mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{V})$. Applying Thomason-Neeman localization theorem [Ne1, Theorem 2.1.] or [Ne2, Theorem 2.1.] we see that $\frac{\mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{U})^{\mathsf{c}}}{\mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{qct},\mathfrak{Z}}(\mathfrak{U})^{\mathsf{c}}}$ is a full subcategory of $\left(\frac{\mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{U})}{\mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{qct},\mathfrak{Z}}(\mathfrak{U})}\right)^{\mathsf{c}} \cong \mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{V})^{\mathsf{c}}$ and moreover the latter is the smallest thick subcategory of $\mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{V})$ containing the former. Now $\beta^*\mathcal{E}^\bullet$ is a perfect complex and by [AJPV, Proposition 6.12] it is a compact object. By the aforementioned equivalence of triangulated categories it follows that

$$q\mathbf{R}\alpha_*\beta^*\mathcal{E}^\bullet \in \left(\frac{\mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{U})}{\mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{qct},\mathfrak{Z}}(\mathfrak{U})}\right)^{\mathsf{c}},$$

where $q\colon \mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{U}) \to \mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{U})/\mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{qct},\mathfrak{Z}}(\mathfrak{U})$ is the equivalence of Proposition 2.4 for $\alpha\colon \mathfrak{V} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{U}$. Since $\mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{V})^{\mathsf{c}}$ is skelletally small by Theorem 3.2 below and having in mind [BvdB, Corollary 3.2.3], there is an object $\mathcal{F}^\bullet \in \mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{U})^{\mathsf{c}}$ such that

$$q\mathcal{F}^\bullet \cong q\mathbf{R}\alpha_*\beta^*(\mathcal{E}^\bullet \oplus \mathcal{E}^\bullet[1]) \in \frac{\mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{U})^{\mathsf{c}}}{\mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{qct},\mathfrak{Z}}(\mathfrak{U})^{\mathsf{c}}}.$$

Thus $\overline{\alpha}q\mathcal{F}^\bullet \cong \overline{\alpha}q\mathbf{R}\alpha_*\beta^*(\mathcal{E}^\bullet \oplus \mathcal{E}^\bullet[1])$, but $\alpha^* = \overline{\alpha}q$ and it follows that we have an isomorphism $\alpha^*\mathcal{F}^\bullet \tilde{\to} \beta^*(\mathcal{E}^\bullet \oplus \mathcal{E}^\bullet[1])$ in $\mathbf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{V})$. Again by [AJPV, Proposition 6.12] the complex $\mathcal{F}^\bullet$ is perfect because it is compact. We are going to *glue* the complexes $\mathcal{F}^\bullet$ in $\mathbf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{U})$ and $\mathcal{E}^\bullet \oplus \mathcal{E}^\bullet[1]$ in $\mathbf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{Y})$ to get a perfect complex $\mathcal{P}^\bullet$ in $\mathbf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{X})$. We do it by taking the third object in the following distinguished triangle on $\mathfrak{X}$:

$$\mathcal{P}^\bullet \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}\gamma_*\mathcal{F}^\bullet \oplus \mathbf{R}\delta_*(\mathcal{E}^\bullet \oplus \mathcal{E}^\bullet[1]) \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}(\delta\beta)_*\beta^*(\mathcal{E}^\bullet \oplus \mathcal{E}^\bullet[1]) \xrightarrow{+}$$

where the middle map is the difference of the obvious morphisms. Note that $\gamma^*\mathcal{P}^\bullet \cong \mathcal{F}^\bullet$ and $\delta^*\mathcal{P}^\bullet \cong \mathcal{E}^\bullet \oplus \mathcal{E}^\bullet[1]$, therefore $\mathcal{P}^\bullet$ is perfect.

To finish the proof define $\mathcal{C}^\bullet := \mathcal{P}^\bullet \oplus \mathbf{R}\gamma_*\mathcal{Q}^\bullet$. We claim that $\mathcal{C}^\bullet$ is a generator of $\mathbf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{X})$. Let $\mathcal{G}^\bullet \in \mathbf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{X})$ and suppose that $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{X})}(\mathcal{C}^\bullet[i], \mathcal{G}^\bullet) = 0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, let us see that necessarily $\mathcal{G}^\bullet = 0$. Note that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{X})}(\mathcal{C}^\bullet[i], \mathcal{G}^\bullet) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{X})}(\mathcal{P}^\bullet[i], \mathcal{G}^\bullet) \oplus \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{X})}(\mathbf{R}\gamma_*\mathcal{Q}^\bullet[i], \mathcal{G}^\bullet).$$

We use first that $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{X})}(\mathbf{R}\gamma_*\mathcal{Q}^\bullet[i], \mathcal{G}^\bullet) = 0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Using the Mayer-Vietoris triangle

$$\mathcal{G}^\bullet \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}\gamma_*\gamma^*\mathcal{G}^\bullet \oplus \mathbf{R}\delta_*\delta^*\mathcal{G}^\bullet \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}(\gamma\alpha)_*(\gamma\alpha)^*\mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{+}$$

we obtain isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{X})}(\mathbf{R}\gamma_*\mathcal{Q}^\bullet[i], \mathbf{R}\gamma_*\gamma^*\mathcal{G}^\bullet) \oplus \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{X})}(\mathbf{R}\gamma_*\mathcal{Q}^\bullet[i], \mathbf{R}\delta_*\delta^*\mathcal{G}^\bullet) \xrightarrow{\sim} \\ \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{X})}(\mathbf{R}\gamma_*\mathcal{Q}^\bullet[i], \mathbf{R}(\gamma\alpha)_*(\gamma\alpha)^*\mathcal{G})\end{aligned} \tag{2.7.3}$$

Note that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{X})}(\mathbf{R}\gamma_*\mathcal{Q}^\bullet[i], \mathbf{R}\delta_*\delta^*\mathcal{G}^\bullet) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{Y})}(\delta^*\mathbf{R}\gamma_*\mathcal{Q}^\bullet[i], \delta^*\mathcal{G}^\bullet)$$

therefore $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{X})}(\mathbf{R}\gamma_*\mathcal{Q}^\bullet[i], \mathbf{R}\delta_*\delta^*\mathcal{G}^\bullet) = 0$ by (2.7.1). So, using isomorphisms (2.7.3) we get

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{X})}(\mathbf{R}\gamma_*\mathcal{Q}^\bullet[i], \mathbf{R}\gamma_*\gamma^*\mathcal{G}^\bullet) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{X})}(\mathbf{R}\gamma_*\mathcal{Q}^\bullet[i], \mathbf{R}\gamma_*\mathbf{R}\alpha_*(\gamma\alpha)^*\mathcal{G}),$$

equivalently

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{U})}(\mathcal{Q}^\bullet[i], \gamma^*\mathcal{G}^\bullet) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{V})}(\alpha^*\mathcal{Q}^\bullet[i], (\gamma\alpha)^*\mathcal{G}).$$

Hence, $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{U})}(\mathcal{Q}^\bullet[i], \gamma^*\mathcal{G}^\bullet) = 0$, for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. By Corollary 2.6, the canonical map $\gamma^*\mathcal{G}^\bullet \to \mathbf{R}\alpha_*(\gamma\alpha)^*\mathcal{G}^\bullet$ is an isomorphism. So

$$\mathbf{R}\gamma_*\gamma^*\mathcal{G}^\bullet \cong \mathbf{R}\gamma_*\mathbf{R}\alpha_*(\gamma\alpha)^*\mathcal{G}^\bullet \cong \mathbf{R}(\delta\beta)_*(\delta\beta)^*\mathcal{G}^\bullet,$$

the last isomorphism by pseudo-functoriality. Using the previous Mayer-Vietoris triangle

$$\mathcal{G}^\bullet \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}\gamma_*\gamma^*\mathcal{G}^\bullet \oplus \mathbf{R}\delta_*\delta^*\mathcal{G}^\bullet \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}(\delta\beta)_*(\delta\beta)^*\mathcal{G}^\bullet \xrightarrow{+}$$

it follows that

$$\mathcal{G}^\bullet \cong \mathbf{R}\delta_*\delta^*\mathcal{G}^\bullet. \tag{2.7.4}$$

Now we are going to use that $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{X})}(\mathcal{P}^\bullet[i], \mathcal{G}^\bullet) = 0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. We have the chain of isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{X})}(\mathcal{P}^\bullet[i], \mathcal{G}^\bullet) &\cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{X})}(\mathcal{P}^\bullet[i], \mathbf{R}\delta_* \delta^* \mathcal{G}^\bullet) \qquad \text{(by (2.7.4))} \\ &\cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{Y})}(\delta^* \mathcal{P}^\bullet[i], \delta^* \mathcal{G}^\bullet) \end{aligned}$$

But $\delta^* \mathcal{P}^\bullet = \mathcal{E}^\bullet \oplus \mathcal{E}^\bullet[1]$, therefore $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{Y})}(\mathcal{E}^\bullet[i], \delta^* \mathcal{G}^\bullet) = 0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Being $\mathcal{E}^\bullet$ a generator of $\mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{Y})$, it follows that $\delta^* \mathcal{G}^\bullet = 0$. As a consequence,

$$\mathcal{G}^\bullet \overset{(2.7.4)}{\cong} \mathbf{R}\delta_* \delta^* \mathcal{G}^\bullet = 0.$$

and the proof is complete. □

A locally noetherian formal scheme $\mathfrak{X}$ is called *semi-separated* if the diagonal map $\Delta_f \colon \mathfrak{X} \to \mathfrak{X} \times_{\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{X}$ is an affine morphism where $f \colon \mathfrak{X} \to \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}$ denotes the canonical map. A useful criterion for a morphism of noetherian formal schemes to be affine is given by [EGA I, Proposition (10.16.2)].

Corollary 2.8. *Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be a noetherian semi-separated formal scheme. The category $\mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{X})$ is a* monogenic *algebraic stable homotopy category in the sense of* [HPS].

Proof. The fact that $\mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{X})$ is an algebraic stable homotopy category is [AJPV, Corollary 6.19.] and it is monogenic by the previous Theorem. □

Remark. This answers the question posed in *loc.cit.* page 1251 (just before the references).

3. Appendix: compact objects form a skeletally small category

Recall that a category C is called *skeletally small* or *essentially small* if there is a set S of objects of C such that every object of C is isomorphic to an object of S.

Let $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}})$ be a noetherian formal scheme. Denote by $\mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{X})^{\mathsf{c}}$ the full subcategory of $\mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{X})$ formed by compact objects. As we remarked before it agrees with the full subcategory of $\mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{X})$ formed by perfect complexes [AJPV, Proposition 6.12.].

Proposition 3.1. *Let $\mathfrak{X} = \operatorname{Spf}(A)$ be an affine formal scheme such that A is an I-adic noetherian ring. The category $\mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{X})^{\mathsf{c}}$ is skeletally small.*

Proof. Perfect objects in this case are quasi-isomorphic to bounded complexes of finite type projective modules by the equivalence

$$\mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{X})^{\mathsf{c}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathsf{D}_I(A)^{\mathsf{c}}$$

induced by the equivalence recalled in the proof of lemma 2.1. This assertion follows from Rickard's criterion, see [AJS2, Lemma 4.3.].

Now it is clear that finite type projective modules form a skeletally small subcategory of A-Mod because a projective module is isomorphic to a direct summand of a free module. And the set of bounded complexes of these modules clearly can be represented by a set. □

Theorem 3.2. *Let* $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}})$ *be a noetherian formal scheme. The category* $\mathbf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{X})^{\mathsf{c}}$ *is skeletally small.*

Proof. We divide the proof in three steps, the first one will provide the basic tool that makes it possible to apply induction in later steps.

Step 1. Suppose that $\mathfrak{X} = \mathfrak{U}_1 \cup \mathfrak{U}_2$ where $\mathfrak{U}_1$ and $\mathfrak{U}_2$ are open formal subschemes of $\mathfrak{X}$. Assume that $\mathbf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{U}_1)^{\mathsf{c}}$, $\mathbf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{U}_2)^{\mathsf{c}}$ and $\mathbf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{U}_1 \cap \mathfrak{U}_2)^{\mathsf{c}}$ is skeletally small, we will see that $\mathbf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{X})^{\mathsf{c}}$ is skeletally small.

Let us represent $\mathfrak{X}$ together with its open subsets and the corresponding canonical open embeddings by the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathfrak{U}_1 \cap \mathfrak{U}_2 \arrow[r, "k'"] \arrow[d, "j'"'] \arrow[dr, "\ell"] & \mathfrak{U}_1 \arrow[d, "j"] \\
\mathfrak{U}_2 \arrow[r, "k"'] & \mathfrak{X}
\end{tikzcd}$$

Let S_1, S_2, S_{12} be the sets that represent the classes of isomorphy of objets in $\mathbf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{U}_1)^{\mathsf{c}}$, $\mathbf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{U}_2)^{\mathsf{c}}$ and $\mathbf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{U}_1 \cap \mathfrak{U}_2)^{\mathsf{c}}$, respectively. Let $\mathcal{E}^\bullet \in \mathbf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{X})^{\mathsf{c}}$. There are complexes $\mathcal{F}_1^\bullet \in S_1$, $\mathcal{F}_2^\bullet \in S_2$, $\mathcal{F}_{12}^\bullet \in S_{12}$ and isomorphisms

$$\phi_1 \colon j^*\mathcal{E}^\bullet \xrightarrow{\ \sim\ } \mathcal{F}_1^\bullet, \quad \phi_2 \colon k^*\mathcal{E}^\bullet \xrightarrow{\ \sim\ } \mathcal{F}_2^\bullet, \quad \phi_{12} \colon \ell^*\mathcal{E}^\bullet \xrightarrow{\ \sim\ } \mathcal{F}_{12}^\bullet.$$

Note that we have a commutative triangle

$$\begin{tikzcd}
k'^*\mathcal{F}_1^\bullet \arrow[rr, "\text{via } \phi_2\phi_1^{-1}"] \arrow[dr, "\text{via } \phi_{12}\phi_1^{-1}"'] & & j'^*\mathcal{F}_2^\bullet \arrow[dl, "\text{via } \phi_{12}\phi_2^{-1}"] \\
& \mathcal{F}_{12}^\bullet &
\end{tikzcd}$$

By adjunction and pseudo-functoriality, from the morphisms $\phi_1 \colon j^*\mathcal{E}^\bullet \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}_1^\bullet$, $\phi_2 \colon k^*\mathcal{E}^\bullet \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}_2^\bullet$ and $\phi_{12} \colon \ell^*\mathcal{E}^\bullet \xrightarrow{\ \sim\ } \mathcal{F}_{12}^\bullet$, we define

$$\psi_1 \colon \mathbf{R}j_*\mathcal{F}_1^\bullet \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}\ell_*\mathcal{F}_{12}^\bullet, \qquad \psi_2 \colon \mathbf{R}k_*\mathcal{F}_2^\bullet \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}\ell_*\mathcal{F}_{12}^\bullet.$$

So we get a map $\Psi \colon \mathbf{R}j_*\mathcal{F}_1^\bullet \oplus \mathbf{R}k_*\mathcal{F}_2^\bullet \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}\ell_*\mathcal{F}_{12}^\bullet$, namely $\Psi := \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \psi_1 \\ -\psi_2 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. Putting all together, we construct a map of triangles

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{G}^\bullet \arrow[r] & \mathbf{R}j_*\mathcal{F}_1^\bullet \oplus \mathbf{R}k_*\mathcal{F}_2^\bullet \arrow[r, "\Psi"] & \mathbf{R}\ell_*\mathcal{F}_{12}^\bullet \arrow[r, "+"] & {} \\
\mathcal{E}^\bullet \arrow[r] \arrow[u, "\mu"] & \mathbf{R}j_*(j^*\mathcal{E}^\bullet) \oplus \mathbf{R}k_*(k^*\mathcal{E}^\bullet) \arrow[r] \arrow[u, "\mathbf{R}j_*\phi_1 \oplus \mathbf{R}k_*\phi_2"'] & \mathbf{R}\ell_*(\ell^*\mathcal{E}^\bullet) \arrow[r, "+"] \arrow[u, "\mathbf{R}\ell_*\phi_{12}"'] & {}
\end{tikzcd}$$

The bottom row is the Mayer-Vietoris triangle of $\mathcal{E}^\bullet$ with respect to the covering given by $\mathfrak{U}_1$ and $\mathfrak{U}_2$. The top row is the completion to a triangle of the map Ψ and the right square is commutative by the definition of the maps. It follows that the map μ is an isomorphism in $\mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{X})$. Note that $\mathcal{G}^\bullet$ belongs to the set S of those complexes that are cones of a map in which the source complex is a coproduct of an extension to $\mathfrak{X}$ of a complex in S_1 and a complex in S_2, and the target complex is an extension to $\mathfrak{X}$ of a complex in S_{12}. Therefore all perfect complexes in $\mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{qct}}(\mathfrak{X})$ are isomorphic to a complex in S as claimed.

Step 2. Suppose first that $\mathfrak{X}$ is separated and let us argue by induction on the number of affine open formal subschemes needed to cover $\mathfrak{X}$. Denote this number by n, therefore $\mathfrak{X} = \mathfrak{U}_1 \cup \dots \cup \mathfrak{U}_n$ with each $\mathfrak{U}_i$ an affine formal scheme for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Let $\mathfrak{U} = \mathfrak{U}_1$ and $\mathfrak{Y} = \mathfrak{U}_2 \cup \dots \cup \mathfrak{U}_n$. Note that

$$\mathfrak{S} := \mathfrak{U} \cap \mathfrak{Y} = \mathfrak{U} \cap (\mathfrak{U}_2 \cup \dots \cup \mathfrak{U}_n) = (\mathfrak{U} \cap \mathfrak{U}_2) \cup \dots \cup (\mathfrak{U} \cap \mathfrak{U}_n).$$

But, being $\mathfrak{X}$ separated, the open subschemes $(\mathfrak{U}\cap\mathfrak{U}_2), \dots, (\mathfrak{U}\cap\mathfrak{U}_n)$ are affine therefore $\mathfrak{S}$ can be covered by $n-1$ affine open subschemes. Now $\mathfrak{U}$ satisfies the thesis of the theorem by Lemma 3.1 and both $\mathfrak{Y}$ and $\mathfrak{S}$ by induction hypothesis. By Step 1, the result follows for $\mathfrak{X}$.

Step 3. Finally we allow $\mathfrak{X}$ to be any noetherian formal scheme. Assume that $\mathfrak{X} = \mathfrak{U}_1 \cup \dots \cup \mathfrak{U}_n$ where each $\mathfrak{U}_i$ is a separated noetherian formal scheme. We will use induction in the number of *separated* open formal subschemes needed to cover $\mathfrak{X}$. With the previous notation $\mathfrak{U} = \mathfrak{U}_1$ satisfies the thesis of our theorem by Step 2. Both $\mathfrak{Y}$ and $\mathfrak{S}$ can be covered by $n-1$ separated open formal subschemes because the intersection of open separated subschemes is a separated formal scheme. Therefore, they also satisfy the thesis of our theorem by the induction hypothesis. Using Step 1 again the proof is complete. □

Remark. The issue of the skeletal smallness of the category of finite type locally free sheaves on any locally ringed space was dealt with in [EGA I, (**0**.5.6.1)]. The case of perfect complexes on a quasi-compact, quasi-separated scheme was mentioned in [TT, Appendix F]. A proof in this case along the lines of the previous Theorem may be given simplifying somehow the strategy of *loc.cit.* (3.20.4) – (3.20.6). We leave the details to the interested reader.

References

[AJL1] Alonso Tarrío, L.; Jeremías López, A.; Lipman, J.: Duality and flat base change on formal schemes, in *Studies in duality on noetherian formal schemes and non-noetherian ordinary schemes.* Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society. Contemp. Math. **244**, 3–90 (1999).

[AJL2] Alonso Tarrío, L.; Jeremías López, A.; Lipman, J.: Greenlees-May duality of formal schemes, in *Studies in duality on noetherian formal schemes and non-noetherian ordinary schemes.* Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society. Contemp. Math. **244**, 93–112 (1999).

[AJS1] Alonso Tarrío, L.; Jeremías López, A.; Souto Salorio, M. José: Localization in categories of complexes and unbounded resolutions *Canad. J. Math.* **52** (2000), no. 2, 225–247.

[AJS2] Alonso Tarrío, L.; Jeremías López, A.; Souto Salorio, M. José: Construction of $t-$structures and equivalences of derived categories *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **355** (2003), 2523–2543.

[AJS3] Alonso Tarrío, L.; Jeremías López, A.; Souto Salorio, M. José: Bousfield localization on formal schemes. *J. Algebra* **278** (2004), no. 2, 585–610.

[AJPV] Alonso Tarrío, L.; Jeremías López, A.; Pérez Rodríguez, M.; Vale Gonsalves M. J.: The derived category of quasi-coherent sheaves and axiomatic stable homotopy. *Adv. Math.* **218** (2008) 1224–1252.

[BN] Bökstedt, M.; Neeman, A.: Homotopy limits in triangulated categories, *Compositio Math.* **86** (1993), 209–234.

[BvdB] Bondal, A.; van den Bergh, M.: Generators and representability of functors in commutative and noncommutative geometry, *Mosc. Math. J.* **3** (2003), no. 1, 1–36, 258.

Second edition. John Wiley & Sons, Chichester, 1982.

[DG] Dwyer, W. G.; Greenlees, J. P. C.: Complete modules and torsion modules. *Amer. J. Math.* **124** (2002), no. 1, 199–220.

[Gr1] Grothendieck, A.: Sur quelques points d'algèbre homologique. *Tôhoku Math. J.* (2), **9** (1957), 119–221.

[Gr2] Grothendieck, A.: Géométrie formelle et géométrie algébrique. *Séminaire Bourbaki*, **5** (1958-1960), Exp. No. 182, 28 pp.

[EGA I] Grothendieck, A.; Dieudonné, J. A.: *Eléments de Géométrie Algébrique I*, Grundlehren der math. Wiss. **166**, Springer–Verlag, Heidelberg, 1971.

[HPS] Hovey, M.; Palmieri, J. H.; Strickland, N. P.: Axiomatic stable homotopy theory. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **128** (1997), no. 610.

[I] Illusie, L.: Généralités sur las conditions de finitude dans les catégories dérivées, in *Théorie des Intersections et Théorème de Riemann-Roch (SGA 6),* Lecture Notes in Math., no. **225**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1971, 78–159.

[L] Lipman, J.: *Notes on Derived Functors and Grothendieck Duality.* Lecture Notes in Math., Springer-Verlag, New York, *to appear.* Preprint at `http://www.math.purdue.edu/~lipman/`.

[LNe] Lipman, J.; Neeman, A.: Quasi-perfect scheme-maps and boundedness of the twisted inverse image functor, *Illinois Journal of Mathematics*, **51** (2007), no. 1, 209–236.

[LNS] Lipman, J.; Nayak, S.; Sastry P.: Variance and duality for Cousin complexes on formal schemes, pp. 3–133 in *Pseudofunctorial behavior of Cousin complexes on formal schemes.* Contemp. Math., **375**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005.

[Ne1] Neeman, A.: The connection between the K-theory localization theorem of Thomason, Trobaugh and Yao and the smashing subcategories of Bousfield and Ravenel. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.* (4) **25** (1992), no. 5, 547–566.

[Ne2] Neeman, A.: The Grothendieck duality theorem via Bousfield's techniques and Brown representability. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **9** (1996), no. 1, 205–236.

[Ne3] Neeman, A.: *Triangulated categories.* Annals of Mathematics Studies, **148**. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2001.

[TT] Thomason, R. W.; Trobaugh, T.: Higher algebraic K-theory of schemes and of derived categories. *The Grothendieck Festschrift, Vol. III*, 247–435, Progr. Math., **88**, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1990.

(L. A. T.) Departamento de Álxebra, Facultade de Matemáticas, Universidade de Santiago de Compostela, E-15782 Santiago de Compostela, Spain
E-mail address: `leo.alonso@usc.es`

(A. J. L.) Departamento de Álxebra, Facultade de Matemáticas, Universidade de Santiago de Compostela, E-15782 Santiago de Compostela, Spain
E-mail address: `ana.jeremias@usc.es`

(M. P. R.) Departamento de Matemáticas, Esc. Sup. de Enx. Informática, Campus de Ourense, Universidade de Vigo, E-32004 Ourense, Spain
E-mail address: `martapr@uvigo.es`

(M. J. V.) Departamento de Álxebra, Facultade de Matemáticas, Universidade de Santiago de Compostela, E-15782 Santiago de Compostela, Spain
E-mail address: `mj.vale@usc.es`